



TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE PENSION PLANS

Brief assessment of the Dutch pension system
mainly CDC plans

- **Strengths**
 - > Adequacy (with much heterogeneity)
 - > Risk sharing (within and between generations)
 - > Low execution costs (admin, investments)

- **Weaknesses**
 - > Nominal DB/CDC is not inflation proof
 - > Intransparency due to redistribution mechanisms
 - > Not fit for current labour market patterns (e.g. self employed)
 - > Limited freedom of choice; one size fits all approach

TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE PENSION PLANS

Trends that are likely to erode the current system:

- **Demographic transition**
 - > Double greying, de-greening
- **Declining returns on financial markets**
 - > Reversion to the mean? Which mean?
- **Labour market changes**
 - > Transitional careers, temporary contracts, self-employed
- **Societal changes**
 - > Individualisation, solidarity, freedom of choice, time horizon

Several reports (1994-) + societal debate (2014)

3



TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE PENSION PLANS

Design of prototype pension plan by SER
(SER: Social and Economic Council of the Netherlands)



- **Accumulation: personal pension pots**
 - > Life cycling
- **Decumulation: collective pension pot**
 - > Continued investment
- **Sharing of investment risks**
- **Sharing of micro longevity risk**
- **Sharing of macro longevity risk**
- **Buffer mechanism strictly defined**

4



TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE PENSION PLANS

Buffer rules

- Buffer >0
- Returns above px of expected returns: buffer in (e.g. x=95)
 - > Fixed income excluded (e.g. low interest, high returns, no buffer feeding)
- Returns below py of expected returns: buffer out (e.g. y=5)
- Buffer is not owned by members → not transferred
- Buffer <M% of \sum individual pots (e.g. M=20)

This makes the contract more complete than CDC, e.g. pensions are cut if buffer is depleted (no decision required)

5



TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE PENSION PLANS

Why is this prototype plan more sustainable?

- Fair: less intergenerational solidarity
 - > Life cycle investing
- Realistic: financial returns determine result
 - > But volatility dampened by buffer
- Flexible: individual bookkeeping
 - > Personal pots allow for labour market mobility
- Modern: accomodating societal trends
 - > More clarity about “the deal”
- Transparent: clear rules
 - > Easier to communicate and explain
 - > Enhances trust in the pension system

6



TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE PENSION PLANS

Transition

- Complicated, e.g. uniform contribution and accrual system
 - > Compensation of middle cohorts → financing issue
- Double transition: the above + conversion to personal pots
 - > Makes financing easier, but more uncertainty during buffer accumulation
- Legal issues, e.g. mandatory industry wide pension plans
- Impact differs across pension funds
- Big bang practically impossible → transition phase required

Still, these issues don't seem prohibitive.

7



TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE PENSION PLANS

So where are we now?



- Social partners
 - > Plenty of support by SER, Pension Federation, CPB, etc.
 - > Could not reach agreement... up till now
- Cabinet formation
 - > Limited consensus among political parties
 - > New government is going to do something...
- And the trends, they continue
 - > Struggle for survival
 - > European developments (e.g. PEPP)

8



TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE PENSION INVESTMENTS

Awareness and commitment increase

- Sustainable investment
 - > Sustainable Pensions Investment Lab (www.spilplatform.com)
 - > Several ESG policies by larger pension funds (e.g. ABP, PFZW)
 - > Investment in sustainable housing (SARE&F)
 - > Active support by the central bank (DNB)
 - > In progress: covenant on international sustainable business (IMVO)
 - www.internationalrbc.org

- Long Term Investment
 - > Newsroom: Shift to Long Term Investing (www.shiftto.org)
 - > Focussing Capital on the Long Term (www.fcltglobal.org)

9



WILL 'THE TWAIN' EVER MEET?

Combination very well feasible

- Increased demand for sustainable investment
 - > E.g. tobacco, child labour, food industry
- SER prototype is meant to gain societal acceptance
 - > Lifecycle investment allows for different asset mixes

However:

- SER prototype is not individual plan, like e.g. NEST
- Collective investment makes voice mechanism relevant
- Outcome will differ across pension funds

10





Questions & discussion

s.g.vander.lecq@vu.nl

@sgvdl

11